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A simple, rapid and efficient ultrasound and salt-assisted liquid–liquid extraction (USALLE) method coupled with high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) has been introduced for extraction, clean-up and pre-concentration of oleuropein from olive leaves as a model analyte. In this technique, the plant sample was transferred into the extraction solvent (consisting of phosphate buffer and miscible organic solvents) and the mixture was exposed to ultrasonic waves. After ultrasonically-assisted extraction (UAE), phase separation was performed by addition of salt to the liquid phase. During salt-assisted liquid–liquid extraction (SALLE) the analyte was transferred into the supernatant organic phase. Various parameters that affect the extraction efficiency such as ultrasonic time and temperature, sample amount, type and volume of miscible organic solvent, type and concentration of salt and pH were evaluated and optimized. The calibration curve shows good linearity (r² = 0.9934) and precision (RSD < 5.5%) in the range of 2.5–50 µg mL⁻¹. The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation were 0.5 and 2.5 µg mL⁻¹, respectively. The recoveries were in the range of 90.0–97.0% with RSD values ranging from 4.0 to 6.5%. Unlike the conventional extraction methods for plant extracts no evaporation and re-solubilization operations were needed in the proposed technique.

1. Introduction

Sample preparation techniques are used to improve the performance of an analysis. The most important aims of sample preparation are: to eliminate or reduce interference, to enhance the sensitivity of the analysis by increasing the enrichment factor (EF) of the analyte and sometimes to convert the analyte into a more appropriate form that can be easily separated, detected, and quantified. The obtained sample in this step should have a high concentration of target analytes free of interfering compounds from the matrix. Therefore, extraction of target analytes is one of the most important steps in sample preparation.

Several solid–liquid extraction (SLE) techniques such as soaking (maceration) extraction, soxhlet extraction (SE), super-critical fluid extraction (SFE) and distillation are available for natural product extraction. Choosing the appropriate extraction technique for extracting natural compounds depends on various process conditions such as temperature, pressure, shaking, and solvent type. Although applying heat, pressure and agitation usually leads to acceleration of the extraction process, its destructive effects on natural compounds must also be considered.

Conventional extraction of natural compounds by using maceration, SE and distillation techniques requires a large volume of organic solvent and a longer extraction time. Also, SE and distillation techniques have destructive effects on natural compounds due to the high processing temperature. In conventional solvent extraction (CSE) methods, due to the use of a large volume of extraction solvent and its incompatibility with analytical instruments, evaporation to dryness and reconstitution of the extract in a very small volume of appropriate solvent are essential. As a result, there is an increasing demand for extraction of natural compounds by using an appropriate extraction method with safe solvents at low temperatures. Ultrasonic-assisted extraction (UAE) and microwave-assisted extraction (MAE) methods present several advantages such as: increasing the extraction efficiency of target analytes, and decreasing the volume of the solvent and extraction time in comparison with conventional methods.

Salt-assisted liquid–liquid extraction (SALLE) is based on the phase separation of water-miscible organic solvents from aqueous solutions by addition of salt. In this technique water-miscible organic solvents with low toxicity were used as extraction solvents. Compared to conventional liquid–liquid extraction (LLE) methods, in the SALLE technique large volumes of immiscible organic solvents and vigorous
mechanical shaking are not required. On the other hand, due to the use of a small volume of extraction solvent and the compatibility of this solvent with analytical instruments, evaporation of solvent is not needed.22,23 There are several reports about the application of SALLE to the determination of different compounds in various matrices.24–26 Therefore, coupling of SALLE with other extraction techniques such as UAE and MAE can lead to good results in terms of efficiency, pre-concentration and clean-up. Recently, UAE coupled with a modified QuEChERS (Quick Easy Cheap Effective Rugged Safe) method was applied to extract isoflavones from legume samples.28 In previous reports, oleuropein was extracted from olive leaves by using UAE and pressurized liquid extraction (PLE) methods.29,30 These methods used large volumes of solvents without pre-concentration and clean-up operations.

In this work, a new ultrasound and salt-assisted liquid–liquid extraction (USALLE) technique has been developed for the determination of oleuropein in olive leaves and fruits as a model analyte. Unlike conventional methods, in this technique, extraction, pre-concentration and clean-up were performed together. After UAE, the extract was transferred to a microtube and subjected to the SALLE process. The SALLE resulted in the clean-up and enrichment of the analyte into the organic phase. Finally, the upper organic phase was removed and injected into a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system. The influences of the different experimental parameters on the extraction efficiency of oleuropein are studied and optimized.

2. Experimental

2.1 Chemicals

Oleuropein (purity $\geq 98\%$ by HPLC) was purchased from Indofine Chemical Company (Hillsborough, USA). Acetonitrile (ACN), tetrahydrofuran (THF), methanol, ethanol, sodium chloride, sodium carbonate, sodium sulfate, ammonium acetate, potassium dihydrogen phosphate and orthophosphoric acid were purchased from Merck Chemical (Darmstadt, Germany). All solutions were prepared with deionized water from a Milli-Q system (Millipore, USA).

2.2 Samples

Olea europaea (variety: sevillana) leaves and fruits were collected from Agricultural Research Garden, Khorramabad, Iran. The leaves and fruits (after removing the stones) were dried in shadow, milled, homogenized and kept at 4°C until analysis. The same sample (leaves) was used in the whole optimization study.

2.3 Standard solution preparation

A stock standard solution ($1000\ \mu g\ mL^{-1}$) was prepared by dissolving oleuropein in methanol. Working standard solutions at concentrations of 0.5–100 $\mu g\ mL^{-1}$ were prepared by diluting the suitable volume of the stock standard with deionized water.

2.4 Chromatographic conditions

The HPLC system (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) which consisted of a quaternary pump (LC-10ATvp), a UV-Vis detector (SPD-M10Avp), a vacuum degasser and a system controller (SCL-10Avp) was used. A manual injector with a 10 $\mu L$ sample loop was applied for loading the sample. Class VP-LC workstation was employed to acquire and process chromatographic data. A reversed-phase C18 analytical column (Shim-Pack VP-ODS, 250 mm $\times$ 4.6 mm i.d., 5 $\mu m$, Shimadzu, Japan) was used.

The mobile phase consisted of phosphate buffer (50 mM and pH = 3 adjusted with orthophosphoric acid) and acetonitrile (70 : 30, v/v). Prior to preparation of the mobile phase, buffer solution and acetonitrile were degassed separately using a Millipore vacuum pump. The UV detector was set at 254 nm. The flow rate and oven temperature were adjusted to 1.0 mL min$^{-1}$ and ambient temperature, respectively.

2.5 Ultrasound and salt-assisted liquid–liquid (USALLE) extraction procedure

0.01 g of the sample was transferred into a 15 mL conical polypropylene centrifuge tube. 10 mL of solvent mixture containing phosphate buffer (pH 3), ACN and THF (80 : 10 : 10, v/v/v) as the extraction solvent was added to the tube and then the mixture was placed in an ultrasonic bath at 25°C for 30 min. After this time period, phase separation was completed by centrifuging the solution at 4000 rpm for 5 min and 1 mL of the liquid phase was transferred into a microtube. Then 0.2 g NaCl was added to the microtube and the mixture was vortexed until dissolution of the salt. Salt addition results in rapid separation of two phases without centrifugation. Finally, 10 $\mu L$ of the organic phase was withdrawn and injected into the HPLC system for analysis. The schematic diagram of sample preparation by using the USALLE technique is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of the proposed ultrasound and salt-assisted liquid–liquid extraction (USALLE) procedure.
3. Results and discussion

Besides the extraction technique, the extraction efficiency also depends on extraction conditions. Therefore, several parameters affecting the concentration of the desired component in the extract, such as pH, extraction solvent, temperature, liquid phase to sample weight ratio, contact time and ionic strength, were optimized. Each experiment in the USALLE optimization process was replicated three times.

3.1 The pH of the aqueous phase

The effect of sample pH on the extraction efficiency was studied in the range of 2–10. As seen from Fig. 2, an increase in pH leads to an increase in oleuropein extraction up to pH = 3 and then leads to a decrease. This phenomenon is consistent with the isoelectric point (pI = 3.23) of the oleuropein. At the isoelectric point the net charge of oleuropein is zero and thus mass transfer to the organic phase increases. Also, low pH values may increase the cell membrane permeability which leads to higher diffusion coefficient values. Low extraction efficiency at higher pH values can be attributed to the decrease in the stability of oleuropein and the increase in its surface charge.

3.2 Choice of organic solvent and its volume

In order to select an appropriate extraction solvent, two important parameters, the solubility of the target compound and its penetrability into the matrix, must be considered. Due to the miscibility of extraction solvent in water, SALLE was applied for extraction, pre-concentration and clean-up of polar compounds from water or liquid samples. Therefore, it is important to choose an appropriate extraction solvent with suitable polarity for maximum analyte or analytes extraction during SLE and SALLE steps. Several water-miscible organic solvents such as methanol, ethanol, acetonitrile (ACN), tetrahydrofuran (THF) and their mixtures were examined as organic phases. No phase separation was observed by using methanol, ethanol and their mixtures with other solvents. The mixture of ACN/THF showed higher extraction efficiency than pure ACN and THF (Fig. 3). Thus, different ratios of ACN/THF were examined (Fig. 4). As can be seen from Fig. 4, the ACN/THF (50 : 50 v/v) exhibited the highest extraction efficiency for oleuropein. Therefore, ACN/THF (50 : 50 v/v) was selected as the extraction solvent for subsequent experiments.

The volume of organic solvent can influence the efficiency of SLE and also the enrichment factor of SALLE. Therefore, optimization of this parameter is required. Various volumes of ACN/THF (50 : 50 v/v) in the range of 1.2 to 3.5 mL were investigated. In volumes less than 1.2 mL, phase separation was not observed. As can be observed from Fig. 5, with increasing the organic solvent volume the peak area of oleuropein increased and then decreased. This behavior can be attributed to the increase in the organic phase volume after the salting out phenomenon which leads to dilution of the target analyte. Therefore, 2 mL was selected as the optimum volume.

3.3 Ultrasonic time and temperature

One of the main advantages of the UAE is the shorter extraction time compared to conventional techniques. In order to investigate the effect of ultrasonic time on extraction efficiency, different times in the range of 1–50 min were examined. Fig. 6 shows the effect of ultrasonic time on the peak area of oleuropein. The results indicate that the extraction efficiency increases with the increase of ultrasonic time in the range of 1 to 30 min. After 30 min, the extraction efficiency decreased. This behavior can be attributed to the degradation of the analyte due to prolonged exposure to ultrasonic waves.

Since the stability of most natural compounds was affected by temperature variation. The optimization of extraction temperature must be achieved in order to obtain the highest extraction efficiency of analytes without degradation. Hence, temperature control is essential to prevent the degradation of target compounds. In this work, the effect of temperature was
studied at three different temperatures including 25, 35 and 45 °C. Fig. 7 illustrates the influence of temperature on the peak area of oleuropein. It is clear that with increasing temperature the extraction amount decreased. Therefore, 25 °C was chosen as the appropriate temperature.

3.4 Liquid phase to solid sample ratio

Generally, a higher solvent to sample ratio in extraction techniques can increase the recovery. In this study, the solvent volume was kept constant and the solid mass was changed in the range of 0.001–0.1 g. As shown in Fig. 8, the peak area of oleuropein increased with increasing the plant mass up to 0.01 g and then leveled off. This behavior can be attributed to saturation of the liquid phase, which prevents more extraction with an increase in sample mass. Hence, 0.01 g was selected as the optimum sample mass in subsequent experiments.

3.5 Choice of salt and its concentration

In this study, four salts including sodium chloride, sodium carbonate, sodium sulfate and ammonium acetate were investigated as the salting-out reagents. Similar to previous reports,26,32 it is clear from Fig. 9 that sodium chloride is the most appropriate salt. In the next step, the salt concentration effect in the range of 10–30% w/v was investigated. The results showed that the peak area of oleuropein increased up to 20%
w/v and then decreased (Fig. 10). Increase of salt concentration can lead to an increase in the organic phase volume. On the other hand, high salt concentration increases the viscosity of the aqueous phase which reduces the mass transfer of the analyte from aqueous to organic phase. Hence, 20% w/v was selected as the optimum salt concentration.

### 3.6 Method evaluation

Typical chromatograms of blank extract, standard solution and extracted oleuropein under the optimized conditions are shown in Fig. 11. It is observed that USALLE is an effective method for extraction and pre-concentration of oleuropein. Under the optimized conditions, validation parameters of the proposed method such as linearity, the limit of detection (LOD), the limit of quantitation (LOQ), precision and accuracy were determined. The linearity of the USALLE-HPLC-UV method was evaluated by extracting and injecting standard solutions of oleuropein at different concentrations after extraction under the optimized conditions. The r-square value of the calibration curve was 0.9934 which manifested the linearity of the technique. The LOD and LOQ were 0.5 and 2.5 μg mL⁻¹, respectively.

The results of repeatability and reproducibility of the proposed method at three concentration levels are detailed in Table 1. Intraday and interday relative standard deviation (RSD) values for all concentration levels were less than 5.5 and 7.5%, respectively.

The accuracy of the method was investigated by determining the relative recovery of spiked oleuropein in plant samples at three concentration levels. Table 1 lists the obtained relative recoveries from the analysis of spiked samples. As can be seen, relative recoveries were in the range of 90.0–97.0%.

The analytical parameters of the proposed method were compared with several reported methods in the literature (Table 2). The results show that the LOD and LOQ were improved by using the proposed USALLE-HPLC-UV method. On the other hand, analysis time for this method was shorter than other methods. In this method, required organic solvent volume and sample weight were reduced greatly. The main advantage of this method compared to the conventional methods is the elimination of evaporation and reconstitution operations in natural product sample preparation. The proposed method can be successfully used to extract and quantify natural products.
In order to investigate the method performance, the oleuropein content of several olive fruits and leaves was determined by using the proposed method under the optimized conditions. The results of oleuropein content for fruits and leaves were in the range of 0.78–1.68 and 25.20–34.03 mg g\(^{-1}\), respectively.

### 4. Conclusion

In this study, ultrasound and salt-assisted liquid–liquid extraction as an efficient sample preparation method for plant samples was introduced and optimized using oleuropein as a model analyte. The proposed method is based on coupling two extraction techniques including solid–liquid and liquid–liquid extraction. Solid–liquid and liquid–liquid extraction methods were performed by using ultrasonic-assisted extraction and salt-assisted liquid–liquid extraction, respectively. In this technique high efficiency extraction of UAE for solid samples and capability of pre-concentration and clean-up of SALLE were combined. Unlike conventional extraction methods for plant extracts no evaporation and reconstitution operations were needed in the USALLE procedure. The organic phase can be directly injected into the analytical instrument. In addition, the centrifugation step was removed because the salt addition facilitated the phase separation.
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