

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/349548729>

Molecular Characterization and Phylogeny of *Taenia hydatigena* and *Echinococcus granulosus* from Iranian Sheep and Cattle Based on COX1 Gene

Article in *Current Microbiology* · February 2021

DOI: 10.1007/s00284-021-02377-0

CITATIONS

0

READS

31

7 authors, including:



Vahid Raissi

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

27 PUBLICATIONS 53 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Soudabeh Etemadi

Zahedan University of Medical Sciences

16 PUBLICATIONS 40 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Omid Raiesi

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

32 PUBLICATIONS 77 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Asmaa Ibrahim

University of Sadat City

13 PUBLICATIONS 8 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



MiRNAs and Human Toxocariasis [View project](#)



NanoTech Group [View project](#)



Molecular Characterization and Phylogeny of *Taenia hydatigena* and *Echinococcus granulosus* from Iranian Sheep and Cattle Based on *COX1* Gene

Vahid Raissi^{1,2} · Soudabeh Etemadi^{3,4} · Nasrin Sohrabi⁵ · Omid Raiesi⁶ · Mehdi Shahraki³ · Alireza Salimi-Khorashad^{3,4} · Asmaa Ibrahim⁷

Received: 8 May 2020 / Accepted: 5 February 2021

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract

Hydatid cyst, the larval stage of *Echinococcus granulosus*, and *Cysticercus tenuicollis*, the larval stage of *Taenia hydatigena*, are prevalent in domestic, livestock, and wild ruminants. The main goal of this research was to identify the isolates of *E. granulosus* and *C. tenuicollis* by partial sequencing with PCR amplification of the cytochrome C oxidase 1 (*COX1*) gene. During a routine veterinary inspection at a Chabahar city slaughterhouse, two samples of *hydatid cysts* from sheep's liver and cattle's lung and two samples of *C. tenuicollis* from sheep's liver were collected. After DNA extraction, the fragment of the *COX1* gene was amplified by the PCR method. Sample sequences were modified and synchronized by Chromas and CLC genomic workbench 11 software. Sequence analysis was carried out by BLAST algorithms and GenBank databases. Phylogenetic trees were performed using MEGA 7 software and the neighbor-joining and maximum likelihood method for *T. hydatigena* and *E. granulosus*. The result indicated that the main genotype of parasites and the amplified fragment size were G1 and approximately 455 bp, respectively. The analysis of phylogenetic trees based on nucleic acid for four samples showed that there was a common ancestor. However, the shift in nucleotides in the two isolates in *E. granulosus* and the two isolates of *T. hydatigena* were non-synonymous type and synonymous type, respectively. The present study showed that the dominant genotype in all isolates was G1 and this report was similar to other studies in Iran and the world. Also, the partial *COX1* gene sequence was matched with *T. hydatigena*.

✉ Soudabeh Etemadi
ssetemadi@gmail.com

¹ Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, Faculty of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

² Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, Faculty of Medicine, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran

³ Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, Faculty of Medicine, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran

⁴ Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine Research Center, Resistant Tuberculosis Institute, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran

⁵ Department of Medical Genetics, Faculty of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁶ Department of Parasitology, School of Allied Medical Sciences, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran

⁷ Diagnostic and Research Unit of Parasitic Diseases (DRUP), Department of Medical Parasitology, Kasr Al-Ainy Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

Introduction

Hydatidosis is a zoonotic parasitic disease caused by *Echinococcus granulosus* tapeworm; the infective stage for this disease is the larval stage. The infection is widely distributed, especially in developing countries [1–3]. The prevalence of that disease varied according to climate and contact with sheep [4]. In Iran, the incidence rates of *Hydatid cyst* have been detected in different livestock such as sheep (5.1–74.4%), camels (25.7–59.3%), cattle (3.5–38.3%), and goats (2–20%) [5–7]. Annually, 1.27 per hundred thousand of the infected human resort to surgery; 2.5% out of them has been died [8]. In Iran, various investigating techniques have been conducted for *E. granulosus* identification and genotyping by using mitochondrial *COX1* and *Nad1* genes [9, 10]. The investigations showed 10 genotypes for this parasite which were recognized as G1 to G10 [9]. G1 has been organized as the most prevalent genotype in Iran and globally [11]. *T. hydatigena* is a global zoonotic intestinal parasite, which infects a wide range of carnivores and livestock

including sheep, buffalo, yak, cattle, and goats, the infective stage of this disease called *Cysticercus tenuicollis* [12, 13]. *T. hydatigena* prevalence in Iran ranges from 6 to 80% (in dog), 12.86% (in sheep), and 18.04% (in goat), respectively [14–16]. The infection started by ingestion of the eggs, which hatch in the intestine to release oncospheres. The oncospheres migrate to the abdominal cavity via the bloodstream [17, 18]. Cysticercosis diagnosis is based on microscopic examination to detect the morphological features, such as blade length and hook number, length. Recently, molecular diagnosis is widely used to identify and differentiate between different parasites [13, 19, 20]. Few studies were conducted for studying the genetic variation of *T. hydatigena* by using *COX1* and *Nad1* mitochondrial gene sequences among different populations and geographical regions [18, 19, 21–26]. Therefore, the present study aimed to identify the genetic variation of *E. granulosus* and *T. hydatigena* in Chabahar, Sistan, and Baluchestan Province, Iran, based on the *COX1* gene and infer the phylogenetic tree of *E. granulosus* and *T. hydatigena*.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

Chabahar is located on the Makran Coast of Iran's Province of Sistan and Baluchestan and has dry, humid summer weather, and mild winter weather, which gives it a hot desert climate. The summer monsoon winds from the Indian sub-continent make Chabahar the coolest southern port in the summer and the warmest part of Iran in the winter. It has an average maximum and minimum temperature of 34 °C and 21.5 °C, respectively [27].

Samples Collection and Diagnosis

During a routine veterinary inspection at a Chabahar city slaughterhouse, two samples of *hydatid cysts* (larvae of *E. granulosus*) from sheep's liver and cattle's lung and two samples of *C. tenuicollis* (larvae of *T. hydatigena*) from sheep's liver were collected. The process of morphological diagnosis of all samples was performed by specialists in the Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences. The endocystic cystic cervical wall of *C. tenuicollis* and the germinal layer of the *hydatid cyst* were examined and then washed several times with a 9% solution of calcium chloride (Fig. S1). The calyceal cyst fluid and the endocysts were evacuated (Fig. S2). All samples were preserved in 70% ethanol at -20 °C until DNA extraction.

DNA Extraction

A commercial kit (AccuPrep® Genomic DNA Extraction Kit, Cat. No. K-3032-bioneer Korea) was used to extract genomic DNA from the germinal layer of the *hydatid cyst* and the endocystic cervical wall of *C. tenuicollis*. All samples extracted were blended with 80 µl of proteinase k, and the samples were placed in an incubator at 56 °C for 24 h on the Kit's Protocol concept. After this step, DNA samples were stored at -20 °C until the PCR stage.

PCR

To estimate transcription levels, PCR was carried out using a transcript Fermentas PCR Kit employing the following cycling protocol: 95 °C/300 s and 94 °C/30 s followed by 35 cycles of 50 °C/45 s, 72 °C /35 s, and 72 °C /600 s. JB3-F (5-TTTTGGGCATCCTGAGGTTTAT-3) and JB4.5-R (5-TAAAGAAAGAACATAATGAAAATG-3) sequences were used as *COX1* gene forward and reverse primers. The amplified products were eventually stained by simple safe (EURx, Poland) and separated in the TAE buffer by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel and visualized under a transilluminator. Positive control used (Accession Number: scox1-2 (KT033487) [28].

Sequencing and Phylogenetic Analysis

Positive amplicons were purified and sequenced by the Iranian Takapozist Company and South Korea (Bioneer), respectively. The nucleotide sequences were revised and edited using Chromas version 2.4, and CLC genomic workbench 11 software and compared to sequences from genomic databases with BLAST. The obtained data were aligned by the reference genotypes of *E. granulosus* and *T. hydatigena* in Gene bank to determine the genotypes using CLC genomic workbench 11 software, and the drawing of the tree was performed using MEGA7 software. Trees plotted with two methods of neighbor-joining and maximum likelihood based on nucleic acid and amino acid for *E. granulosus* and *T. hydatigena*.

Results

Genotyping of *E. granulosus* and *T. hydatigena* in Iran

The PCR amplification products showed a 45-5 bp fragment of the *COX1* gene and the gene sequence compared to the sequences in the Gene bank (Fig. S3). The result indicated

that the main genotype of *E. granulosus* and *T. hydatigena* in the present study was G1. The sequences of the two isolates differed in one nucleotide. Accession numbers of two isolates of *E. granulosus* (sheep and cattle) and two isolates of *T. hydatigena* (sheep) used in this study were recorded in Gen Bank as follows: MN478490, MN480298, MN478491, MN480299.

Phylogenetic Analysis

Phylogenetic Trees with Two Methods Neighbor-Joining and Maximum Likelihood-Based on Nucleic Acid and Amino Acid for *E. granulosus*

In the plotted phylogenetic trees, the host, country, accession number, and genotype (if registered in the gene bank) are listed in each strain, respectively. Our samples are marked with a red label and out-group with a black label. Phylogenetic trees were plotted with two methods for *E. granulosus*: (neighbor-joining and maximum likelihood based on nucleic acid and amino acid). MN478490 is based on both the nucleotide sequence and the amino acid sequence in the phylogenetic tree next to the G1 genotype, which was similar to neighbor-joining and maximum likelihood, confirming that the MN478490 genotype was similar to G1. MN480298 was found in the phylogenetic tree based on nucleic acid by the neighbor-joining method next to G1, but the genotypes of the strains adjacent to those strains were not registered in the gene bank, which may not be able to judge. The phylogenetic trees concluded that the host type does not play a significant role in determining genetic affinity and the organism itself and its genotype play a decisive role in this regard. The *COX1* gene has been studied in both *E. granulosus* and *T. hydatigena*, which showed that the target gene in *T. hydatigena* was considered as an outgroup. The phylogeny based on the nucleic acid model was quite similar to both the maximum likelihood and neighbor-joining methods, confirming the validity of the plotted trees. Although the two samples in nucleic acid-based clothes have the same common ancestor together, differences in their nucleic acid composition led to sampling MN480298 in a separate clad. Based on the pairwise comparison, the two sequences were different in one nucleotide and were similar 99.77% (Figs. S4 and S5). Pairwise comparison in Fig. S6 showed that the three sequences MH010310, KX874711, and EU178104 in the same cluster with the MN480298 sequence have 100% similarity. In the case of trees based on the amino acid model, both maximum likelihood and neighbor-joining methods provided the same filtration and confirmed each other, although compared to the nucleic acid-based trees, the two samples were diverged (MN478490, MN480298 *E. granulosus*). The isolates of *E. granulosus* were different from each other in one nucleotide according to the alignment. This result indicated that the

changing of nucleotide was in a non-synonymous type and that the amino acid variant caused the two studied samples to separate (Fig. S7).

Phylogenetic Trees with Two Methods: Neighbor-Joining and Maximum Likelihood Based on Nucleic Acid and Amino Acid for *T. hydatigena*

The phylogenetic trees according to the nucleic acid model were quite similar in two samples (*T. hydatigena* MN478491, MN480299) by both the maximum likelihood and neighbor-joining methods which confirms the accuracy of the drawn trees. However, the two samples we examined have the same common ancestor on nucleic acid with both methods. But, the difference in their nucleic acid composition caused the MN478491 sample was placed in a separate cloud. Based on the pairwise comparison, the two sequences were different in two nucleotides and were similar 99.55% (Figs. S8 and S9). In both methods, the phylogenetic amino acid trees had the same phylogeny (maximum likelihood and neighbor-joining) and verified the accuracy of each other. However, unlike nucleic acid-based phylogenetic trees, the two samples we examined were adjacent to one another. The isolates of *T. hydatigena* were different from each other in two nucleotides. These findings showed that the nucleotide shift was not able to modify the amino acid (Figs. S10 and S11).

Discussion

Cysticercosis caused by *T. hydatigena* and cystic echinococcosis due to *E. granulosus* causes incredible damage in the production of livestock in endemic countries [21, 29, 30]. *COX1* gene was comparable to estimate from Iranian *T. hydatigena* and *E. granulosus* populations from livestock [31, 32]. Understanding the genetic identification and characterization of the parasite will be crucial for the prevention and control of parasitic infections [8]. Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequence data have been used to study the intraspecific variation of *E. granulosus* and *T. hydatigena*. *MtDNA* is widely used in molecular and phylogenetic analysis studies [33–35]. The *COX1* gene is the most common *mtDNA* gene for phylogenetic studies, inter- and intraspecific variation, and evolutionary biology of helminth parasites [8, 33–35]. In the present study, obtained *COX1* gene subunit (455 bp) was compared with the sequences in the Gene bank. The prevalence of *E. granulosus* and *T. hydatigena* in Iranian dogs based on phylogenetic and sequence analysis of *COX1* gene and *SSU-rDNA* has shown low genetic diversity in genotypes G3, G1, and G7 in *E. granulosus* and *T. hydatigena* [35]. In addition, using the *COX1* gene, the predominant genotypes of *E. granulosus* in humans, in Iran, were G1, G2,

G3, and G6, respectively [33, 36]. Previous studies in Iran confirm our results that the G1 genotype of *E. granulosus* is the most common in sheep [11, 36]. In contrast to Karimi and Diantapour who reported that the G6 genotype was the only strain present in the livestock like sheep and goats [37]. In China and Argentina, G1 strain *E. granulosus* has been common in humans and sheep, in Nepal, G1, G5, G6 strains among the isolates of buffalo, sheep, goats, and humans have been reported, in Eastern Europe, G1, G2, G3, G6 haplotypes were reported to be the most common type of sheep [38–40]. Our results showed that the G1 genotype of *T. hydatigena* is the most common genotype in the livestock. According to various studies performed on *T. hydatigena* based on, *nad1*, *nad5* and *COX1* gene variability, the prevalence of *T. hydatigena* in Pakistanian sheep and goats was less than 5% [22]. The first report genetic diversity of *T. hydatigena* in Sudanese sheep in isolates [23] and genetic differences in isolates from Nigerian goats and sheep [25] was reported. Also, the analysis of molecular variance *T. hydatigena* in different regions of Iran shows the molecular diversity of 12 *s rRNA* in parasite isolates in goats and sheep [41]. Studies have also aimed to isolate *T. hydatigena* for the first time from liver capsules from two wild boars in Poland. This study was based on morphological indices and *COX1* sequences, which was similar to the present study in terms of sample size and detection method [26]. To complete the discussion, it should be noted that the main limitation of the present study, as in the Filip study in 2019, was the small sample size due to the rarity of the samples [26].

Conclusion

Our findings suggest that the dominant genotype in all isolates was G1 and this report was similar to other studies in Iran and the world. In addition, the partial *COX1* gene sequence was matched with *T. hydatigena*. This study opens up some interesting ideas for further research. Ideas such as: investigating the prevalence of *T. hydatigena* and *E. granulosus* based on *COX1* and *nad 1* on various animals and conducting molecular studies with higher sample sizes and comparing different genotypes of these parasites in the region.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-021-02377-0>.

Acknowledgements The authors express their appreciation and gratitude to all those who have directly or indirectly contributed to this project. This study is the result of helminthology project Dr. Soodabeh Etemadi which was done in Department of Medical Parasitology

and Mycology, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

Author Contributions VR, AI, SE helped in study concept and design; NS, OR acquired the data; SE; MS, ASK analyzed and interpreted the data; VR and SE drafted and revised the manuscript.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of interest The authors and coauthors declare that they have no conflict of interest that affects this study.

Ethical Approval All the studied samples have been used in this study based on ethical considerations determined by the relevant authorities (Veterinary Organization of Iran). Also, this study was the research project of Ms. Soodabeh Etemadi in the PhD course.

References

- Kern P, da Silva AM, Akhan O, Müllhaupt B, Vizcaychipi KA, Budke C, Vuitton DA (2017) The *Echinococcoses*: diagnosis, clinical management and burden of disease. *Adv Parasitol* 96:259–369. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apar.2016.09.006>
- Romig T, Deplazes P, Jenkins D, Giraudoux P, Massolo A, Craig PS, Wassermann M, Takahashi K, De La Rue M (2017) Ecology and life cycle patterns of *Echinococcus* species. *Adv Parasitol* 95:213–314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apar.2016.11.002>
- Karami MF, Rafiei A, Raiesi O, Getso M, Akhlaghi E, Jalali P, Shayanfar M, Beigzadeh E, Arbat SK, Mirabedini Z, Raissi V (2019) The relation between toxocariasis and toxoplasmosis co-infection and the presence of rheumatoid factor (RF) in people with hydatidosis in Southwestern Iran, from 2013 to 2018. *J Parasit Dis* 43(3):379–384. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12639-019-01101-x>
- Grosso G, Gruttadauria S, Biondi A, Marventano S, Mistretta A (2012) Worldwide epidemiology of liver hydatidosis including the Mediterranean area. *World J Gastroenterol* 18(13):1425–1437. <https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v18.i13.1425>
- Dalimi A, Motamedi GH, Hosseini M, Mohammadian B, Malaki H, Ghamari Z, Far FG (2002) Echinococcosis/hydatidosis in western Iran. *Vet Parasitol* 105:161–171. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4017\(02\)00005-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4017(02)00005-5)
- Harandi MF, Hobbs RP, Adams PJ, Mobedi I, Morgan-Ryan UM, Thompson RC (2002) Molecular and morphological characterization of *Echinococcus granulosus* of human and animal origin in Iran. *Parasitology* 125:367–373. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S003182002002172>
- Rokni M (2009) Echinococcosis/hydatidosis in Iran. *Iran J Parasitol* 4(2):1–16
- Fasihi Harandi M, Budke CM, Rostami S (2012) The monetary burden of cystic echinococcosis in Iran. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 6:e1915. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001915>
- Sharbatkhori M, Harandi MF, Mirhendi H, Hajjalili E, Kia EB (2011) Sequence analysis of *cox1* and *nad1* genes in *Echinococcus granulosus* G3 genotype in camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) from central Iran. *Parasitol Res* 108(3):521–527. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-010-2092-7>
- Dousti M, Abdi J, Bakhtiyari S, Mohebbi M, Mirhendi S, Rokni MB (2013) Genotyping of hydatid cyst isolated from human and domestic animals in Ilam Province, Western Iran using PCR-RFLP. *Iran J Parasitol* 8(1):47–52

11. Kinkar L, Laurimäe T, Acosta-Jamett G, Andresiuk V, Balkaya I, Casulli A, Gasser RB, van der Giessen J, González LM, Haag KL, Zait H (2018) Global phylogeography and genetic diversity of the zoonotic tapeworm *Echinococcus granulosus* sensu stricto genotype G1. *Int J Parasitol* 48(9–10):729–742. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2018.03.006>
12. Gomez-Puerta LA, Pacheco J, Gonzales-Viera O, Lopez-Urbina MT, Gonzalez AE (2015) The taruca (*Hippocamelus antisensis*) and the red brocket deer (*Mazama americana*) as intermediate hosts of *Taenia hydatigena* in Peru, morphological and molecular evidence. *Vet Parasitol* 212:465–468. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.08.004>
13. Zhang Y, Zhao W, Yang D, Tian Y, Zhang W, Liu A (2018) Genetic characterization of three mitochondrial gene sequences of goat/sheep-derived *Coenurus cerebralis* and *Cysticercus tenuicollis* isolates in Inner Mongolia, China. *Parasite* 25(3–4):1. <https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2018002>
14. Dalimi A, Sattari A, Motamedi G (2006) A study on intestinal helminthes of dogs, foxes and jackals in the western part of Iran. *Vet Parasitol* 142:129–133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.06.024>
15. Eslami A, Ranjbar-Bahadori S, Meshgi B, Dehghan M, Bokaie S (2010) Helminth infections of stray dogs from Garmsar, Semnan province, Central Iran. *Iran J Parasitol* 5(4):37
16. Radfar MH, Tajalli S, Jalalzadeh M (2005) Prevalence and morphological characterization of *Cysticercus tenuicollis* (*Taenia hydatigena cysticerci*) from sheep and goats in Iran. *Vet Arhiv* 75(6):469–476
17. Singh BB, Sharma R, Gill JP, Sharma JK (2015) Prevalence and morphological characterisation of *Cysticercus tenuicollis* (*Taenia hydatigena* cysts) in sheep and goat from north India. *J Parasit Dis* 39(1):80–84. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12639-013-0284-7>
18. Rostami S, Salavati R, Beech R, Babaei Z, Sharbatkhori M, Baneshi M, Hajjalilo E, Shad H, Harandi M (2015) Molecular and morphological characterization of the tapeworm *Taenia hydatigena* (Pallas, 1766) in sheep from Iran. *J Helminthol* 89(02):1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X13000667>
19. Omar MAE, Elmajdoub LO, Al-Aboody MS, Elsfy AM, Elkhtam AO, Hussien AA (2016) Molecular characterization of *Cysticercus tenuicollis* of slaughtered livestock in Upper Egypt governorates. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed* 6:706–708
20. Luo H, Zhang H, Li K, Rehman MU, Mehmood K, Lan Y, Huang S, Li J (2017) Epidemiological survey and phylogenetic characterization of *Cysticercus tenuicollis* isolated from Tibetan pigs in Tibet, China. *Biomed Res Int*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/7857253>
21. Braae UC, Kabululu M, Nørmark ME, Nejsun P, Ngowi HA, Johansen MV (2015) *Taenia hydatigena* cysticercosis in slaughtered pigs, goats, and sheep in Tanzania. *Trop Anim Health Prod* 47(8):1523–1530. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-015-0892-6>
22. Alvi MA, Ohiolei JA, Saqib M, Li L, Muhammad N, Tayyab MH, Qamar W, Alvi AA, Wu YD, Li XR, Fu BQ (2020) Preliminary information on the prevalence and molecular description of *Taenia hydatigena* isolates in Pakistan based on mitochondrial *cox1* gene. *Infect Genet Evol* 85:104481. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2020.104481>
23. Muku RJ, Yan HB, Ohiolei JA, Saaïd AA, Ahmed S, Jia WZ, Fu BQ (2020) Molecular identification of *Taenia hydatigena* from sheep in Khartoum, Sudan. *Korean J Parasitol* 58(1):93. <https://doi.org/10.3347/kjp.2020.58.1.93>
24. Khaled K, Teber G, Bouaicha F, Amairia S, Rekik M, Gharbi M (2020) Infestation of small ruminants by the metacystode stage of *Taenia hydatigena* in slaughterhouse, North East Tunisia. *Vet Med Sci* 6(2):204–208. <https://doi.org/10.1002/vms3.222>
25. Ohiolei JA, Luka J, Zhu GQ, Yan HB, Li L, Magaji AA, Alvi MA, Wu YT, Li JQ, Fu BQ, Jia WZ (2019) First molecular description, phylogeny and genetic variation of *Taenia hydatigena* from Nigerian sheep and goats based on three mitochondrial genes. *Parasit Vectors* 12(1):520. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3780-5>
26. Filip KJ, Pyziel AM, Jeżewski W, Myczka AW, Demiaszkiewicz AW, Laskowski Z (2019) First molecular identification of *Taenia hydatigena* in wild ungulates in Poland. *EcoHealth* 16(1):161–170. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10393-019-01392-9>
27. Sharifi-Rad M, Dabirzadeh M, Sharifi I, Babaei Z (2016) Leishmania major: genetic profiles of the parasites isolated from Chababhar, Southeastern Iran by PPIP-PCR. *Iran J Parasitol* 11(3):290
28. Heidari Z, Sharbatkhori M, Mobedi I, Mirhendi SH, Nikmanesh B, Sharifdini M, Mohebbi M, Zarei Z, Arzamani K, Kia EB (2019) *Echinococcus multilocularis* and *Echinococcus granulosus* in canines in North-Khorasan Province, northeastern Iran, identified using morphology and genetic characterization of mitochondrial DNA. *Parasit Vectors* 606(12):1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3859-z>
29. Scala A, Urrai G, Varcasia A, Nicolussi P, Mulas M, Goddi L, Pipia AP, Sanna G, Genchi M, Bandino E (2016) Acute visceral cysticercosis by *Taenia hydatigena* in lambs and treatment with praziquantel. *J Helminthol* 90(1):113–116. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X14000601>
30. Rahimi MT, Sarvi S, Daryani A, Sharif M, Ahmadpour E, Shokri A, Mizani A (2016) Application of multiplex PCR for the simultaneous detection of *Taenia spp.* from domestic dogs in the north of Iran. *Helminthologia* 53(3):285–289. <https://doi.org/10.1515/helmin-2016-0017>
31. Boufana B, Scala A, Lahmar S, Pointing S, Craig PS, Dessì G, Zidda A, Pipia AP, Varcasia A (2015) preliminary investigation into the genetic variation and population structure of *Taenia hydatigena* from Sardinia, Italy. *Vet Parasitol* 214:67–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.08.003>
32. Salehi M, Yaghfoori S, Bahari P, Seyedabadi M, Parande Shirvan S (2018) Molecular characterization of *Echinococcus granulosus* sensu lato from Livestock in North Khorasan Province, Iran. *Iran J Parasitol* 13(4):577–586
33. Mohaghegh MA, Yousofi-Darani H, Jafarian AH, Mirbadie SR, Fasihi-Harandi M, Ghavimi R, Jabalameli Z, Azami M, Mohammedi M, Hejazi SH (2019) Isolated human and Livestock *Echinococcus granulosus* genotypes using real-time PCR of *cox1* gene in Northeast Iran. *Acta Parasitol* 64(3):679–685. <https://doi.org/10.2478/s11686-019-00117-w>
34. Paoletti B, Della Salda L, Di Cesare A, Iorio R, Vergara A, Fava C, Olivastri A, Dessì G, Scala A, Varcasia A (2019) Epidemiological survey on cystic echinococcosis in wild boar from Central Italy. *Parasitol Res* 118(1):43–46. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-018-6112-3>
35. Mirbadie SR, Nasab AN, Mohaghegh MA, Norouzi P, Mirzaei M, Spotin A (2019) Molecular phylogeny of *Echinococcus granulosus sensu lato* and *Taenia hydatigena* determined by mitochondrial *Cox1* and *SSU-rDNA* markers in Iranian dogs: indicating the first record of pig strain (G7) in definitive host in the Middle East. *Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis* 65:88–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cimid.2019.05.005>
36. Nikmanesh B, Mirhendi H, Ghalavand Z, Alebouyeh M, Sharbatkhori M, Kia E, Mohebbi M, Eghbali M, Rokni MB (2014) Genotyping of *Echinococcus granulosus* Isolates from human clinical samples based on sequencing of mitochondrial genes in Iran, Tehran. *Iran J Parasitol* 9(1):20–27
37. Karimi A, Dianatpour R (2008) Genotypic and phenotypic characterization of *Echinococcus granulosus* of Iran. *Biotechnology* 7:757–762. <https://doi.org/10.3923/biotech.2008.757.762>
38. Khademvatan S, Majidiani H, Foroutan M, Tappeh KH, Aryamand S, Khalkhali HR (2019) *Echinococcus granulosus* genotypes in Iran: a systematic review. *J Helminthol* 93(2):131–138. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X18000275>

39. Brožová A, Jankovská I, Bejček V, Nechybová S, Peřínková P, Horáková B, Langrová I (2017) *Echinococcus* spp.: tapeworms that pose a danger to both animals and humans—a review. *Sci Agric Bohem* 48(4):193–201. <https://doi.org/10.1515/sab-2017-0026>
40. Cao M, Chen K, Li W, Ma J, Xiao Z, Wang H, Gao J (2020) Genetic characterization of human-derived hydatid fluid based on mitochondrial gene sequencing in individuals from northern and western China. *J Helminthol* 94:E2. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X18000883>
41. Sarvi S, Behrestaghi LE, Alizadeh A, Hosseini SA, Gohardieh S, Bastani R, Charati JY, Daryani A, Amouei A, Spotin A, Gholami S (2020) Morphometric, genetic diversity and phylogenetic analysis of *Taenia hydatigena* (Pallas, 1766) larval stage in Iranian livestock. *Parasitology* 147(2):231–239. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182019001434>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.