Research Paper: Prevalence and Distribution of Carabelli Cusp in Maxillary Molars in Deciduous and Permanent Dentition and Its Relation to Tooth Size in a Group of Iranian Adult and Pediatric Dental Patients

Atousa Aminzadeh¹, Samaneh Jafarzadeh², Ania Aminzadeh³, Arash Ghodousi^{4*}

- 1. Department of Oral Pathology, Faculty of Dentistry, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran.
- 2. Dentist, Isfahan, Iran.

3. Department of Periodontology, School of Dentistry, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Lorestan, Iran.

4. Community Health Research Center, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran.



Citation: Aminzadeh A, Jafarzadeh S, Aminzadeh A, Ghodousi A. Prevalence and Distribution of Carabelli Cusp in Maxillary Molars in Deciduous and Permanent Dentition and Its Relation to Tooth Size in a Group of Iranian Adult and Pediatric Dental Patients. International Journal of Medical Toxicology & Forensic Medicine. 2018; 8(1):11-14. http://dx.doi.org/10.22037/ijmtfm. v8i1(Winter).18858

doi): http://dx.doi.org/10.22037/ijmtfm.v8i1(Winter).18858

Article info: Received: 23 Apr. 2017 Accepted: 16 Aug. 2017

Keywords:

Anthropology, Carabelli anomaly, Deciduous tooth, Tooth abnormalities, Permanent tooth

ABSTRACT

Background: Carabelli cusp is a dental morphologic anomaly arising on the palatal side of the mesiopalatal cusp of maxillary first or second molars. It is believed that this cusp is seen in people with larger teeth. Since it has different prevalence among populations, it can be used in forensic dentistry. As well, dentists should be aware of common dental anomalies that might impact dental treatments. In this study, the prevalence of Carabelli cusp and its relation to tooth size in permanent and deciduous dentitions in Iranian population was assessed.

Methods: This analytic-descriptive study was performed on 129 (43 deciduous, 86 permanent) patients and their dental casts. First and second molars were observed for the presence of Carabelli cusp. Mesiodistal width of teeth was recorded by using a vernier calliper. The data were analyzed by the Independent t test, Chi-square and Mann Whitney statistical tests using SPSS 18.

Results: Frequency of Carabelli trait were respectively 72% and 62% in deciduous and permanent dentitions. No significant difference was seen between males and females in this study in both studied dentitions (P>0.05). Dentitions with Carabelli cusp had larger mesiodistal width compared to other groups in both dentitions (P<0.001).

Conclusion: Carabelli cusp in the studied Iranian population was higher compared to other Asian populations. In addition, its bilateral occurrence like a shallow groove in first maxillary molars was related to larger tooth size in both dentitions.

* Corresponding Author: Arash Ghodousi, PhD Address: Community Health Research Center, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran. Tel: +98 (31) 35002554 E-mail: ghodousi@khuisf.ac.ir

1. Introduction

usp of Carabelli is a morphological tooth anomaly or an accessory cusp occurring as a well-developed tooth cusp, narrow groove, or furrow on palatal surface of the mesiopalatal cusp of maxillary first

molars on both sides of deciduous and permanent dentition. When present, it is supposed that teeth show larger dimension in mesiodistal width, but this correlation was not seen in deciduous dentition [1, 2].

Studies indicate different prevalence of this cusp among nations, e.g. 70%-90% in Europeans, 54.2% in Malaysians, 52.77% in Indians, and 26%-27% in Asians with a high prevalence in Caucasans [2]. Apparently, the presence of Carabelli cusp is under the influence of several genes, and it has been proposed as a useful tool for anthropology and identification of races [3]. Especially as the tooth as a hard tissue will remain after decomposition of soft tissue can be used as an ethnic index [3, 4].Thus in this study, the prevalence and distribution pattern of Carabelli cusp and its relation to tooth size was assessed and compared between deciduous and permanent dentition in a group of Iranian people.

2. Materials and Methods

Having already mentioned, the, presence of Carabelli cusp is related to a larger mesiodistal tooth size in permanent dentition although such a relationship has not been observed in deciduous dentition [1]. Thus in this analytic descriptive study, Carabelli cusp was studied on and compared between 129 patients and their dental casts composed of 86 adults (12-40 years old) and 43 children (4-12 years old), referring to Khorasgan Dental School and private clinics in Isfahan, Iran, Patients missing first or second permanent or deciduous molars or with excessive cavities, big restorations or crowns on these teeth were excluded from the study. Demographic data including age and gender were recorded. First and second molars were observed for the presence of Carabelli cusp. Mesiodistal width of central incisor, canine, first and second molar in permanent dentition and canine, first and second molars in deciduous

dentition were measured with the same vernier calliper by one examiner. Identification and grading of Carabelli cusp were performed as described by Hanihara [5] as mild: A small flat groove; medium: u or y shaped groove; and severe: clear and distinct cusp like cusp. The obtained data were analyzed by the Independent t test, Chi-square, and Mann-Whitney statistical tests.

3. Results

Deciduous dentition

A total of 43 casts were studied; 23 casts belonged to female participants and 20 to male patients. Carabelli cusp was seen in 31 (72%) cases with no significant difference between males (75%) and females (69.5%) (P=0.69) (Table 1). The cusp was seen unilaterally in 5 (16.12%) cases and bilaterally in 26 (83.87%) (P<0.001) (Table 2). Regarding the cusp grade, mild, moderate, and severe presentation of cusp were seen respectively in 41.9%, 32%, and 25.8% of cases. The difference between mild and moderate presentation to severe presentation was statistically significant (P=0.04) (Table 2). Mean(SD) mesiodistal width of studied deciduous teeth was 7.73(0.6) mm in the group with Carabelli cusp presentation compared to 7.2(0.2) mm in the other group (P=0.01). Tooth size did not show correlation with the size of the Carabelli cusp (P=0.88) (Table 3).

Permanent dentition

A total of 86 permanent casts were studied; 41 cases belonged to female casts and 45 casts to male casts. Frequency of Carabelli cusp was 62.7% (n=54) in permanent dentition. In particular, 63.4% was seen in females and 62.2% in males. The Chi-square test did not show this difference to be statistically significant (P=0.91) (Table 1).

In 97.7% of cases, Carabelli cusp was only observed on the first molar. We did not see the occurrence of Carabelli cusp on the second molars without the involvement of the first molars. In 2.3% of cases, the accessory cusp was seen on both first and second molars (Table 2). The unilateral occurrence of Carabelli trait was seen in 24%

Table 1. Prevalence of Carabelli cusp in the studied groups and its prevalence in relation to gender (α =0.05)

	Prevalence of Carabelli Cusp (%)	Male (%)	Female (%)	Р
Primary dentition	72	46.52	53.48	0.69
Permanent dentition	62.7	62.2	63.4	0.91

International Journal of Medical Toxicology & Forensic Medicine

	Involved Tooth				Grading of Carabelli Cusp			
	First Molar Individually (%)	Second Molar Individually	First and Second Molar Together (%)	Bilateral Presentation (%)	Unilateral Presentation (%)	Mild (%)	Moderate (%)	Severe (%)
Primary dentition	100	-	-	83.88 P<0	16.12%	41.9	32 P=0.04	25.8
Permanent dentition	97.7	-	2.3	76 P<0	.001	46.2	37.03 P=0.01	16.6

Table 2. Frequency distribution of Carabelli cusp in the studied groups (α=0.05)

Table 3. Mean (SD) mesiodistal width of teeth in the studied groups (α =0.05)

Deutitien	Mean (SD)			
Dentition	Dentition Without Carabelli Cusp (mm) Dentition With Carabelli C		۲	
Primary	7.25(0.2)	7.7(0.6)	0.01	
Permanent	8.64(0.5)	9.13(0.5)	<0.001	

International Journal of Medical Toxicology & Forensic Medicine

Medical Toxicology & Forensic Medicine

of cases (n=13), which was significantly different from bilateral occurrence (P<0.001) (Table 2).

Regarding the grade; mild, moderate, and severe presentation of cusp was seen respectively in 46.2%, 37.03%, and 16.6% of cases. The difference between mild and moderate presentation to severe presentation was statistically significant (P=0.01) (Table 2). Mean (SD) mesiodistal width values of six teeth; 2 canine, 2 first, and 2 second molars in cases of permanent dentition with Carabelli cusp were 9.15(0.5) mm and 8.6(0.5) mm in the other group with no Carabelli cusp (P<0.01) (Table 3). Tooth size did not show correlation with the degree of severity of Carabelli cusp (P=0.39).

4. Discussion

Sound knowledge of common dental anomalies in different populations can be important for two reasons. First, recognizing these anomalies is helpful for treatment planning [6], and second, it can be used in forensic dentistry [7].

Carabelli cusp is also known as trait of Carabelli, tubercle of Carabelli, molar tubercle, enamel elevation, the fifth cusp, accessory cusp, mesiopalatal prominence, or tuberculum anomalum. This anomaly is reported to be more prevalent in Caucasian compared to mongoloid and Chinese [8]. In contrast, Hsu et al. [9] believed that talon cusp is more common in Chinese.

In our study, the prevalence of Carabelli cusp was 62.7% in permanent and 72% in deciduous dentition. In Eskandari et al. study in Gilan Province, Iran,10 the prevalence of Carabelli on the first molar in children aged 8-15 years was 85.7% Province. In our study, there was no gender difference in presenting the cusp of Carabelli, which was in accordance with studies of Eskandari et al. [10], Dissanayake et al. [11], Falomo [12], and Harris [13]. Although, Hsu et al. [9] had mentioned Carabelli cusp is mostly seen in males.

In our study, Carabelli cusp was seen bilaterally as observed in similar studies. And the presence of Carabelli cusp on just the second maxillary molar, was not seen. Although, Al-Shethri [14] has reported 86.4% bilateral involvement of second maxillary molars with Carabelli cusp.

Simultaneous involvement of the first and second molar was seen in 2.3% of permanent dentition in the present study. Similarly, Falomo reported a simultaneous occurrence of Carabelli cusp on the first and second maxillary molars [11] in 1.98% of cases.

Hsu et al. [9] reported a relationship between the presence of Carabelli cusp and buccolingual tooth size, similar to the present study (P<0.05). Although in the present study, the mesiodistal width of teeth was measured. It is hypothesized that the longer the evolution of a tooth germ takes, the larger the tooth size and the chances of formation of accessory cusps. In the present study, no relationship was seen between Carabelli cusp grade or Carabelli cusp size and mesiodistal tooth size, although Kondo et al. [15] mentioned that greater Carabelli cusp occur on larger molars while smaller molar crowns show smaller Carabelli cusp. In the present study, Carabelli cusp was mostly seen as a furrow or groove in the studied population. Eskandari et al. [10] also reported Carabelli cusp as a small vertical ridge. However, Al-Shetheri saw the expression of this cusp as a total cusp, mostly in a Saudi population. Also, Mann-Whitney test revealed no difference between the severities of the cusp of Carabelli in both dentitions, which means that if the cusp is strongly seen in deciduous dentition, it would appear in the same grade in permanent dentition.

5. Conclusion

Prevalence of cusp of Carabelli in the studied population in Isfahan was higher than other parts of Asia. This cusp is seen bilaterally, also it is possible (but rare) for the cusp to be seen on both molars. Dentitions, both deciduous and permanent, with Carabelli cusp had bigger mesiodistal width.

Acknowledgments

This research was extracted from the PhD thesis of the secound author. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared no conflicts of interest.

References

- Nevill B, Damm D, Allen C, Bouquot J. Oral and maxillofacial pathology. 3rd Edition. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2009.
- [2] Mavrodisz K, Rozsa N, Budai M, Soos A, Pap I, Tarjan I. Prevalence of accessory tooth cusps in a contemporary and ancestral Hungarian population. The European Journal of Orthodontics. 2007; 29(2):166–9. doi: 10.1093/ejo/cjl084

- [3] Tyagi R, Khuller N, Sharma A, Khatri A. Genetic basis of dental disorders: A review. Journal of Oral Health and Community Dentistry. 2008; 2(3):55-61.
- [4] Khan DB, Khan MA, Khattak M. Prevalence of cusp of Carabelli in permanent teeth in a group from Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. Pakistan Oral & Dental Journal. 2011; 31(2):409-11.
- [5] Hanihara T. Dental and cranial affinities among populations of East Asia and the pacific: The basic populations in East Asia, IV. American Journal of Physical Anthropology. 1992; 88(2):163–82. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.1330880205
- [6] Jerome CE, Hanlon RJ. Dental anatomical anomalies in Asians and Pacific Islanders. Journal of the California Dental Association. 2007; 35(9):631-6. PMID: 17993215
- [7] Aguirre L, Castillo D, Solarte D, Moreno F. Frequency and variability of five non-metric dental crown traits in the primary and permanent dentitions of a racially mixed population from Cali, Colombia. International Journal of Dental Anthropology 2007; 10:24-35.
- [8] Sadatullah S, Odusanya SA, Mustafa A, Abdul Razak P, Abdul Wahab M, Meer Z. The prevalence of fifth cusp (cusp of carabelli) in the upper molars in Saudi Arabian school students. International Journal of Morphology. 2012; 30(2):757–60. doi: 10.4067/ s0717-95022012000200066
- [9] Hsu J, Tsai P, Hsiao T, Chang H, Lin L, Liu K et al. Ethnic dental analysis of shovel and Carabelli's traits in a Chinese population. Australian Dental Journal. 1999; 44:(1):40-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1834-7819.1999.tb00534.x
- [10] Eskandari M., Rabiei M., Salamat F, Farhadi M. [Variation of the carabelli cusps in maxillary first molars by casts within 8-15-year-old referred to dental school of Guilan University of Medical Sciences (Persian)]. Journal of Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical sciences. 2012; 20(4):447-53
- [11] Dissanayake U, Chandrasekera MS, Wikramanayake ER. The prevalence and mode of inheritance of Carabelli trait in the Sinhalese. Ceylon Journal of Medical Science. 2004; 47(1):7-15. doi: 10.4038/cjms.v47i1.4846
- [12] Falomo OO. The cusps of Carabelli: frequency, distribution, size and clinical significance in Nigeria. West African Journal of Medicine. 2004; 21(4)-322-4. doi: 10.4314/wajm.v21i4.28011
- [13] Harris E. Carabelli's trait and tooth size of human maxillary first molars. American Journal of Physical Anthropology. 2007; 132(2):238-246. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.20503
- [14] Shethri SA. The prevalence of the Carabelli cusp in selected Saudi population. King Saud University Journal of Dental Sciences. 2011; 2(1-2):13–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ksujds.2011.03.003
- [15] Kondo S, Townsend GC. Associations between Carabelli trait and cusp areas in human permanent maxillary first molars. American Journal of Physical Anthropology. 2006; 129(2):196– 203. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.20271